

Preliminary Design of an FFAG to 25 GeV for the IDS

J. Scott Berg
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Second IDS Plenary Meeting
11 June 2008

Linear Non-Scaling FFAG

- Larger number of passes through RF
- Arc accepts factor of 2 or more in energy
- Reasonable magnet aperture
- Accelerates using high-frequency RF
- Simple (FODO, doublet), identical cells
- Linear combined-function magnets
- Sufficient drift for RF cavity

Design Goals

- Accelerate from 12.6 GeV to 25 GeV
- 30 mm normalized transverse acceptance
- Two 201 MHz SCRF cells per lattice cell
 - Time variation with transverse amplitude
- Four empty drifts for injection/extraction
- Drift lengths: 2 m (FODO)/3 m (doublet)
- Optimize for cost including decays

Parameters

| | FODO Doublet | |
|-------------------|--------------|-------|
| Cells | 62 | 61 |
| D radius (cm) | 9.5 | 10.3 |
| D peak field (T) | 7.6 | 8.4 |
| F radius (cm) | 20.7 | 20.6 |
| F peak field (T) | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| Circumference (m) | 462 m | 463 m |
| RF Voltage (MV) | 1526 | 1450 |
| Decay loss (%) | 3.5 | 3.7 |


Lattice Design Discussion

- FODO and doublet lattices very similar
 - Costs, size comparable
 - Both have somewhat over 8 turns
 - Doublet needs slightly less voltage
 - Doublet has higher field, larger D magnet
- Biggest difference: longer (3 m vs. 2 m) drift in doublet

Injection

- Septum followed by kicker in subsequent drift
- 2 cm separation between circulating beam and injected beam at septum
- Ideal tune septum to kicker: 0.25
- Horizontal injection
- Prefer septum just before defocusing magnet
 - Defocusing magnet pushes beam out
 - Beam smaller near defocusing magnet

Lattice Tune



Injection Parameters

| | Doublet D First | Doublet F First | FODO First | FODO Second |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Kicker Field (T) | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.88 | 1.19 |
| D Radius (cm) | 11.0 | 16.1 | 9.2 | 9.9 |
| F Radius (cm) | 20.9 | 33.5 | 13.2 | 18.7 |


Injection: Commentary

- Kicker fields too high (0.5 T goal)
 - Better in doublet: longer drift
 - Use second kicker
- Magnet aperture needed close to design
 - Except when F near septum
 - Outside “good field region,” but not for long
 - FODO slightly better than doublet


Injection Doublet Commentary

- F near septum requires too much aperture
 - Want to avoid special magnets
 - Symmetry breaking bad for FFAGs
- Doublet must either inject or extract wrong way
 - Could inject vertically, extract horizontally
 - ✧ Tunes near 0.25 for both these
 - Other sign is opposite direction!
 - ✧ Probably kills doublet


Injection Doublet, D Near Septum



Injection Doublet, F Near Septum



Lattice Tune




Injection FODO Commentary




- Injection and extraction with D near septum
- Kicker in first drift more effective
 - Horizontal tune high
 - Most phase advance in D
 - ✧ First drift about 0.25 away
- Kickers half of length for doublet

Injection FODO, Kicker in First Drift



Injection FODO, Kicker in Second Drift



Tasks

- Design simplistic at this point
 - Compute longitudinal parameters more carefully
 - Study performance under tracking
 - Study less expensive option (fewer cavities)
- Consider triplet design
 - FODO inefficient with fewer cavities
 - Doublet problems for injection/extraction
 - Vertical injection/extraction required

Tasks

- Injection
 - Study 2-kicker solutions
 - Study vertical options
- Consider extraction
- Study with beam loading
 - Develop scheme for handling bunch trains that arrive too rapidly, if necessary